

- Main message: All systems operate according to initial specification and facilitated the fast and reliable commissioning of the LHC right away from Day-I
- Slides give an overview of
 - Day-to-day performance of the Orbit, Q, Q' and betatron-coupling instrumentation and diagnostic as well as associated beam-based feedback systems.
 - Some second-order affects that will become important while approaching nominal operation with increased beam intensities.



Base-Band-Tune (BBQ) System Performance Example: 2009-11-24 @00:15 – First Ramp to 1.2 TeV

- The Base-Band-Tune (BBQ) system was work horse from LHC Day-I
 - No hardware, minimal software and only a few beam related issues



- Most measurements were done with residual beam excitation
- Typ, Q measurements resolution in the range of 10⁻⁴ ... 10⁻⁶



 Coherent 1 µm-level tune oscillation (N.B. turn-by-turn BBQ < 1 µm!) Frequency [kHz]



- Hump: assuming single dipolar pertubation \rightarrow kick < 1 nRad kick only
 - Causing emittance blow-up, beam-loss and thus life-time reduction
 - a non-issue if the present tune working point wouldn't be exactly on it



BBQ Beam Spectra during Energy Ramp

 Residual oscillations and the absence of strong interference lines allows to track the tunes directly using the FFT spectra and some simple filtering (e.g. range, S/N, change rate, etc.):



Residual tune oscillations about 40-60 dB S/N (!!) \rightarrow impact Tune-PLL



LHC Ramp Example with and without Tune-FB



- For perfect pre-cycling the fill-to-fill Q stability is typically 2-3.10-3, however:
 - Variations frequently increase up to ±0.02 due to partial or different magnet pre-cycles after e.g. access, sector trips etc.
- → Tune-FB routinely used during (almost) every ramp to compensate these effects!



Tune Phase-Locked-Loop Commissioning Results

- Same BBQ as 'Continuous FFT' system (logging)
- Gain relations and BTF agree with model
 - typical tune resolution: 10⁻⁵
 - Op. range w/o re-tuning: 0.15 ... 0.5
- Deploy low-noise strip-line tune tickler (BQK) for missing planes once production finished
 - PLL limited by residual strong tune oscillations:
 - Larger excitation possible but not practical
- Example: $Q'_v = 15$ (blue, dp/p = 10^{-4} @2.5 Hz) $\rightarrow Q'_v$ trim) = $-10 \rightarrow Q'_v = 10$ (red)







BBQ-based Q/Q' Tracking during Squeeze and Collissions

Tune & Coupling-Tracker example during early β*-Squeeze commissioning:





Tune and Chromaticity Evolution

- Base-line Q'-Tracker based on demodulation or sinusoidal frequency trims
 - Increased original modulation of $\Delta p/p = 10^{-5}$ @2.5 Hz to 10^{-4} @2Hz to mitigate tune stability effects at injection ($\Delta Q_{res} \sim 3-4.10-4$)
 - Achieved nominal Q' resolutions \rightarrow used as feed-forward for next ramps



Presently Q-FB and Q'-Tracker/-FB are exclusive to avoid spurious QPS trips of the tune and sextupole corrector magnets \rightarrow being investigated



2009-12-08: 5 Magical Minutes of Tune Feedback Commissioning

• Quick Q-FB sanity check, here with $\Delta Q_{trim} = \pm 0.003$ (via LSA) with Q-FB 'on':



- Any weak link/sub-system error would break the feedback chain, or (reverse logic) since FB was stable ↔ sub-systems work according to model
 - same applies to Q'-FB link: reliability/availability of measurement

 \rightarrow weak



LHC Feedback Performance on a Slide



- FB response 1/e time constants:
 - Tune: 1..2 s $\leftrightarrow \sim 0.1..0.3$ Hz BW (depending on fitting limits)
 - Achieved peak-to-peak tune stability 10⁻³
 - from Q-FB point-of-view: choice between FFT vs. PLL is transparent
 - Orbit-FB & Radial-loop: 3.3 s ↔ 0.1 Hz BW
 - 200 um steady-state error due to using only 400/520 eigenvalues
 → next step: "SVD++" algorithm (FB-BW dependence on global/local control)
 - In good agreement with model!
 - \rightarrow Going to 0.5 or 1 Hz BW should not pose (big) problems



Main limitation:

- Real-time corrections cause spurious QPS trips of Q, Q' and C⁻ correctors: that are erroneously interpreted as 'quenches'
 - presently: only Q-FB or Q'-Tracker routinely used during energy ramp
 - Mitigations are deployed and being tested
 - Tune stability ~4.10⁻⁴ at injection impacts Q'-Tracker and -FB performance
 - Desired ΔQ'=1 resolutions implies much larger continuous momentum modulation of Δp/p ~10⁻⁴ than the initially targeted 10⁻⁵ (↔ 100-200 µm radial orbit change)
- Micro-instabilities \leftrightarrow residual tune oscillation (~ 1 um) impacting Q-PLL:
 - Coherent for a few hundred turns but incoherent w.r.t. the sinusoidal exciter and PLL integration time-scales and thus effectively increasing the PLL phase noise. Mitigation
 - Increasing the exciter amplitude by >20-40 dB mitigates this but is impractical for regular operation (↔ 100 um beam oscillations)
 - Limits the Q'-Tracker to sampling @2.5 Hz

Example: LHC Ramp with and without Orbit-FB



Residual error corresponds to local bumps that were not corrected by the Orbit-FB (limited number of used eigenvalues of 280 vs. 530 total)



SVD Decomposition of Orbit Perturbation Sources – or – How the Orbit-FB sees the Energy Ramp

global bumps \leftrightarrow small eigenvalue vs. local bumps \leftrightarrow large eigenvalue indices:



Some global perturbations but also significant local ones \rightarrow need to use more eigenvalues for better local compensation



SVD Decomposition of Orbit Perturbation Sources during the Energy Ramp – ALTERNATE

- Global bumps ↔ small eigenvalue index (↔ large eigenvalues)
- Local bumps ↔ large eigenvalue index (↔ small eigenvalues)



Some global perturbations but also significant local ones \rightarrow need to use more eigenvalues for better local compensation



Main limitations so far:

- Spurious QPS trips of special orbit correctors acting on B1 & B2
 - \rightarrow disabled these correctors presently for feedback use, however:
 - limits ability to correct the orbit in the interaction region (triplet quadrupole shifts may become important during the β^* -Squeeze with beam-separation)
- Ultimate Orbit-FB and/or beam stability limited by BPM systematics:
 - Affects re-steering to safe collimation orbit reference at injection for nominal intensities
 - Acquisition card electronics temperature effects are being addressed/mitigated by a crate temperature control
 - Noise and bunch reflections signals and to a lesser extend intensity- & bunch-length dependencies need further investigation

Ongoing:

- Integration into operational sequence for day-to-day operation pending:
 - Management of the various reference orbits for injection, collisions, etc.
 - Dynamic change of orbit correction algorithm to accommodate the varying machine optics during the β^* -Squeeze (pseudo-inverse-response matrix switching)
 - Synchronisation with BPM sensitivity changes (FB needs to be paused/resumed while switching)

Concept of Orbit- and Tune-FB are still "new" and require 'getting used to' for some in terms of day-to-day operation...